

Signed Certificate Timestamp: A Never-Failing Promise?

Luis Wengenmair

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Kevin Borgolte

Thesis Colloquium





Signed Certificate Timestamps: A Never-Failing Promise? | SOFTSEC | Thesis Colloquium | 10.11.2024

Content

- Introduction
- Problem
- Methodology
 - CT log on local machine
 - sctchecker
 - Own Python code
- Results
- What have we learned?



Introduction

- TLS is the de-facto standard to communicate encrypted
 Digital certificates are needed
- Certificate Authorities give out certificates
- Digital certificates can be cryptographically verified through signatures
 - CAs themselves not
- Several misbehaving CAs showed need for measures

Introduction

- CT logs emerged as a solution to track CA-activities
 - Misissued certificates attract attention
- These logs are huge lists which consist of "Merkle Trees"
- Anyone can check for a given certificate if it is included in a log using the API

Problem

- Instant inclusion into CT logs is not always possible
- "Promises" for inclusion (so called Signed Certificate Timestamps) are given out by CT log providers
- There exist no research regarding the reliance of SCTs
 - It is not tested on a large-scale whether the existence not only promises the inclusion but also proofs it
- In this thesis we want to verify for a large number of certificates if the promise of inclusion is kept

Methodology







(a) Crawling certificate datasets



(c) Verification through combined techniques

Methodology









- Utilization of the ZMap-Project
- ZMap to identify all hosts in the IPv4 space with Port 443 not closed
- With ZGrab try to build up TLS-connection with those hosts
 - download the corresponding digital certificate

Tranco

- Tranco is a "Research-Oriented Top Sites Ranking Hardened Against Manipulation"
 - List of the top one million domains
- Utilizing OpenSSL, download the digital certificate from all these websites

Methodology





(a) Crawling certificate datasets



CT log on local machine

- Tool from Google repository to scan one whole CT log
- Verification process for one certificate is exceptionally fast
- Preceding extensive time and space requirements

sctchecker

- Tool from Google for verifying the validity of SCTs embedded in a given certificate
- Extensive and sophisticated code base
- Output needs to be further processed
- Relatively slow

Own Python code

- Utilization of different libraries to request proof of inclusion
 - also able to utilize the local CT log
- Exactly developed for our own demand
 - Statistics and minimal function
- Has not been tested extensively
 - Highly likely to not cover every edge case
 - May have (severe) bugs

Methodology







(a) Crawling certificate datasets



Combining techniques

- We utilize the advantages of each of the techniques
 reducing the individual disadvantages
- First, use own Python code as well as the local CT log
- Certificates, not successfully verified in first instance, are given to the sctchecker tool from Google

Results

Performance

- To showcase the efficiency of our pipeline, we present the average time needed to verify one single certificate
- Python code (only with API) takes about one second
- sctchecker takes about two seconds
- Local CT log takes less then two milliseconds





Tranco (gathered 722983 in total)



12717 22234

IPv4-scan (gathered 711349 in total)

Results

Verifiable certificates

RUB

Results

SCT statistics

- IP-Scan: ~94% successful verification
- Tranco: ~96% successful verification



Signed Certificate Timestamps: A Never-Failing Promise? | SOFTSEC | Thesis Colloquium | 10.11.2024

What have we learned?

- We found no evidence for misbehaving or non-compliant SCTs
 - Most of the time, we were not able to verify the inclusion of one certificate, external factors played a role
- No definitive proof that SCTs are absolutely reliable
- Further research is needed
 - This can include some larger datasets to test
 - Could optimize verification by enhancing given code

What have we learned?

- Rate limiting and blacklisting makes it harder to audit on a large-scale
 - Rate limiting by CT Logs
 - Blacklisting by issuers' certificate provider
- Checking for delay of inclusion not possible after long period of time
 - Some monitors check timely inclusion



Thank You For Your Attention! Any Questions?

Thesis Colloquium

10.11.2024





Amount of work

- All certificates are processed by our own Python code
 - optional: verify through local CT log
- Failed verification results in utilization of sctchecker
- IP-scan
 - ~20% local CT log
 - ~4% sctchecker
- Tranco
 - ~10% local CT log
 - ~2% sctchecker



Signed Certificate Timestamps: A Never-Failing Promise? | SOFTSEC | Thesis Colloquium | 10.11.2024